



Co-funded by
the European Union

Guidelines for innovation, digital transformation and sustainable growth of European cultural operators





Co-funded by
the European Union

This publication constitutes an integral part of the *DEMO project – New digital perspectives for European music: a roots-based process for the innovative and sustainable growth of cultural operators*, funded through the ERASMUS+ - KA210 programme by the Erasmus+ National Agency INDIRE, Project Code: 2024-1-IT02-KA210-ADU-000244236

July 2026

Disclaimer

Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Education and Culture Executive Agency (EACEA). Neither the European Union nor EACEA can be held responsible for them.

Editorial curation, scientific drafting of the Chapters 1,2,3,4,5,6, methodological framework, and overall scientific responsibility of the volume: Marco Navarra.

Design and supervision of the empirical research: Francesca Lonardelli.

Empirical data collection and territorial support to the research: DEMO Partnership.

Review and validation: DEMO Partnership.

© Literary and artistic copyright reserved by

La Bottega delle Narrazioni s.c.s.

Via Arturo Capone, 5

84125 Salerno (SA), Italy

ISBN: 979-12-986035-4-7

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, whether electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without the prior written permission of the publisher.



Co-funded by
the European Union

Index

Methodological section	4
Chapter 1.....	14
Chapter 2.....	28
Chapter 3.....	40
Chapter 4.....	50
Chapter 5.....	59
Chapter 6.....	69



Co-funded by
the European Union

Methodological section

Empirical research to support the guidelines

Why this research?

These guidelines are not based solely on theoretical reflection or a collection of best practices, but are based on empirical research conducted within the DEMO project. The research aimed to systematically understand the needs, challenges, and prospects of independent European festivals in the project's three key areas: digital transformation, integrated sustainability, and the development of cultural networks.

Research therefore has a dual function:

- descriptive → photograph the current state of the sector
- guidance → translate data into operational indications

The guidelines represent the interpretative synthesis of this process.

Research Overview

Element	Description
Type of research	integrated qualitative-quantitative
Main instrument	structured questionnaire
Complementary methods	interviews + focus groups
Target	independent festival organizers
Areas analyzed	digital, public, sustainability, networking
Purpose	guide industry growth strategies

- ✓ The research is intended as an empirical basis for the guidelines, not as an isolated investigation.

1. Methodological framework

The questionnaire design was coordinated by the project leader, through a participatory process involving research partners, cultural operators, and industry experts.

The methodological approach combined:

- quantitative data collection → structural mapping of festivals
- qualitative analysis → understanding practices and critical issues



- collective comparison → validation through focus groups

The goal was not to produce statistics that could be generalized at the national level, but to build an interpretative framework useful for strategic planning in the sector.

2. Research logic

- ✓ observe real practices
- ✓ listen to cultural operators
- ✓ identify recurring critical issues ✓ transform data into operational tools

Guidelines are the transition: **from diagnosis to action.**

3. Structure of the questionnaire

The questionnaire was organized into thematic sections to cover the entire independent festival ecosystem.

Section	Area under investigation
General information	festival profile
Innovation and digital	tools and skills
Ticketing and data	public management
Audience engagement	relationship strategies
Local communities	territorial involvement
Sustainability	environmental and social practices
Networking	European cooperation

This articulation allowed us to read the festivals as complex systems, not as single events.

4. Data collection

The questionnaire was administered online, supplemented by telephone interviews and remote focus groups. This approach allowed us to:

- delve deeper into closed answers

- contextualize the critical issues that have emerged
- collect concrete examples of practices

The combination of tools has favored a multilevel reading of the sector: organizational, economic, cultural and relational.

Why integrate questionnaires and interviews?

The questionnaire measures → the interviews explain.

The numerical data show trends → the operators' voices describe dynamics.

5. Summary of key findings

The data analysis highlighted some recurring trends:

Area	Evidence emerged
Digital	strong heterogeneity in skills
Ticketing	artisanal systems and poor data collection
Communication	fragmented use of social media
Sustainability	present but not systemic practices
Networking	tendency towards operational isolation
Public	difficulty in building loyalty

These elements are not isolated anomalies, but structural patterns that cross the sector.

The guidelines directly address these critical issues.

From research to guidelines

Research identifies problems, → guidelines propose tools

The diagnosis is empirical, → the response is operational.

6. Function of the questionnaire in the guidelines

The questionnaire is not an accessory attachment, but rather the document's knowledge base. The research findings inform the subsequent chapters through examples, evidence, and practical suggestions.

To ensure methodological transparency, the full questionnaire is included in the appendix.

- ✓ This allows for replicability and reuse of the tool.

7. Key findings from the research

The research findings reveal some recurring trends across the independent festival ecosystem. The findings shouldn't be interpreted as individual anomalies, but as structural patterns within the industry.

Digital inhomogeneity

Evidence

Most festivals use basic digital tools (social media, online communication), but have significant shortcomings in structured data management, advanced ticketing systems, and audience analysis.

Analytical reading

Digital is present as a visibility tool, but rarely as a management infrastructure. This indicates superficial digitalization: active communication, but a lack of data culture. Digital transformation therefore emerges as a strategic priority, not only technological but organizational.

Fragility of the ticketing system

Evidence

Many festivals use artisanal or partially digital ticket sales systems, resulting in a loss of audience data and difficulty in monitoring.

Analytical reading

The lack of structured ticketing systems limits the ability to build loyalty, evaluate impact, and engage with sponsors and institutions. Ticketing isn't just about selling: it's about learning infrastructure. Weaknesses in this area jeopardize medium-term sustainability.

Fragmented communication

Evidence

Promotion is mainly based on unstructured social media, with little integration of newsletters, CRM or data-driven marketing strategies.

Analytical reading

Communication is active but lacking in strategy. Festivals engage with audiences but rarely build ongoing relationships. This model produces episodic visibility, not stable cultural communities. The problem isn't online presence, but the lack of planning.

Non-systemic sustainability

Evidence

Many festivals adopt specific environmental practices (recycling, plastic reduction), but rarely integrate sustainability as a cross-cutting organizational criterion.

Analytical reading

Sustainability is perceived as a set of isolated actions, not as a guiding principle. This indicates a transition phase: growing awareness, but the absence of integrated models. The transformative potential is high, but still fragmented.



Operational isolation

Evidence

Festivals tend to operate autonomously, with limited collaborative networks and little participation in structured partnerships.

Analytical reading

Isolation reduces the capacity for innovation and access to shared resources. Cooperation is emerging not as a consolidated practice, but as an opportunity that remains largely unexplored. Networking appears to be one of the most underutilized levers in the sector.

Weak relationship with the public

Evidence

Most festivals struggle to collect demographic and behavioral data on their audiences, limiting retention and engagement strategies.

Analytical reading

Without audience data, there is no structured audience development. Relationships remain episodic and tied to the individual event. This impedes the building of lasting cultural communities and weakens economic sustainability.

8. Summary

Overview

- a. Fragile Digital → Insufficient Data
- b. Active Communication → Weak Strategy
- c. Sustainability Present → Incomplete Integration
- d. Limited Networking → Structural Isolation
- e. Audience Reached → Unconsolidated Relationship

a. Fragile digital → insufficient data

It means:

Festivals use digital tools, but they don't transform them into data collection and analysis systems.



Practical example:

- active social networks ✓
- advanced ticketing ✗
- CRM ✗
- public database ✗

The result is that digital produces visibility,
but not public awareness.

👉 Without data you can't plan.

b. Active communication → weak strategy

Festivals communicate a lot,
but without a strategic structure.

Example:

- many posts → yes
- editorial plan → no
- audience segmentation → no
- loyalty funnel → no

Communication exists, but it is episodic, not planned.

👉 you talk to the public, but you don't build relationships.

c. Present sustainability → incomplete integration

Sustainable practices exist,
but they are isolated actions.

Example:

- separate waste collection ✓
- plastic reduction ✓
- overall environmental strategy ✗
- impact monitoring ✗

There is sensitivity, but not a systemic model.



👉 Sustainability as a gesture, not as governance.

d. Limited networking → structural isolation

Festivals collaborate little in a structured way.

It's not a lack of contact,
but a lack of stable networks.

Example:

- occasional exchanges ✓
- ongoing partnerships ✗
- shared design ✗

The sector is fragmented.

👉 Isolation reduces the capacity for innovation.

e. Audience reached → unconsolidated relationship

Festivals are successful in bringing people to events,
but they struggle to transform them into communities.

Example:

- participation in the event ✓
- public database ✗
- follow-up ✗
- loyalty ✗

The public is there,
but it doesn't become an ecosystem.

👉 successful event ≠ lasting relationship.



Co-funded by
the European Union

9. General interpretation

The box shows a recurring pattern:

existing activities, weak infrastructure

The sector is dynamic, creative, and alive, but often lacks stable systems that allow for growth over time.

The guidelines serve exactly this purpose:

not to change the festivals, but to strengthen their foundations.

The critical issues are not individual shortcomings, but systemic conditions. The guidelines are designed to strengthen these infrastructures, not replace existing practices.

Methodological Appendix

The complete questionnaire used for the research is available at:

Appendix A – Questionnaire for festival organizers

The appendix allows:

- verifiability of the method
- reuse by other operators
- future continuity of research



Co-funded by
the European Union

Chapter 1

Public, relations and communication



QUICK ORIENTATION – CHAPTER 1

Table 1.1 – How to use this chapter

<i>If you're looking for...</i>	<i>This chapter is useful to you if...</i>	<i>You do NOT need this chapter if...</i>
<i>Understanding who your audience really is</i>	you want to get beyond the idea of "audience = spectators"	you are just looking for quick promotion techniques
<i>Improving relationships with communities</i>	you are interested in building relationships over time	you just want to increase attendance numbers
<i>Using digital sensibly</i>	you want to integrate communication, data and identity	you're looking for tricks to "get engagement"
<i>Bringing coherence to communication</i>	you want to align content, values and practices	you are looking for replicable standard models

👉 **When to read it:** before rethinking communication, engagement or digital strategies

👉 **How to read it:** not in technical order, but as a framework

Table 1.2 – What you will find / what you will NOT find

<i>Here you will find</i>	<i>You will NOT find here</i>
<i>a critical reading of audience development</i>	ready-to-use marketing formulas
<i>examples of approach, not format</i>	rigid templates or checklists
<i>integration between public, digital and values</i>	separation between communication and content
<i>indications adaptable to different contexts</i>	"one size fits all" models
<i>references to guide choices</i>	step-by-step operating instructions

🔗 **Operational insights:** DEMO toolkit (tools, datasheets, application examples)



0.1 Project context

The European cultural sector is undergoing a profound transformation, driven by intertwined digitalization processes, a growing focus on environmental and social sustainability, and the need to rethink organizational and relational models capable of responding to structural changes in audiences, regions, and cultural policies. In this scenario, independent festivals and small- and medium-scale cultural events assume a strategic role: not only as venues for cultural production and enjoyment, but as genuine devices for social experimentation, capable of activating communities, enhancing local cultural heritage, and generating economic and symbolic impacts on the regions in which they operate.

Digitalization, in this context, cannot be understood solely as a technological upgrade or the adoption of new communication tools. Rather, it represents a process of organizational and cultural transformation that impacts event planning methods, audience relations, governance models, and forms of cooperation between various stakeholders. Likewise, sustainability cannot be reduced to a set of "green" practices or compensatory interventions, but is a cross-cutting criterion for guiding strategic decisions, capable of integrating environmental, social, and economic dimensions within a long-term vision of cultural development.

Within this framework, the **DEMO project – New digital perspectives for European music: a roots- based process for the innovative and sustainable Growth of Cultural Operators** , funded under the Erasmus+ KA210-ADU program, aims to specifically strengthen the skills of European cultural operators, with particular reference to organizers of independent festivals and events. The project is explicitly focused on adult education, considering continuing education as a key lever for supporting innovation, digitalization, and sustainability processes in the cultural sector.

DEMO stems from the understanding that cultural operators are not mere policy executors or passive users of technological tools, but central actors in contemporary transformation processes. They play a crucial role in promoting inclusive practices, supporting emerging artists, building meaningful relationships with local communities, and strengthening a European dimension of culture based on cooperation and dialogue between territories. However, this potential often clashes with structural limitations related to a lack of digital skills, the fragmentation of professional networks, and the difficulty of systematically integrating sustainability principles into daily organizational practices.

In response to these challenges, the DEMO project adopts an integrated approach that combines applied research, opportunities for discussion and collective learning, the development of digital tools, and the production of operational resources. These guidelines are part of this process as a guidance and support tool, designed to support cultural practitioners in critically analyzing ongoing changes and developing informed strategies that can be adapted to different territorial and organizational contexts. From this perspective, the document does



not simply gather best practices, but aims to offer an interpretative and operational framework that fosters the sustainable, inclusive, and digitally aware growth of the European cultural sector.

0.2 General and specific objectives of the guidelines

These guidelines were conceived as a strategic and operational guidance tool for European cultural operators, with a particular focus on organizers of independent festivals and events. They are not intended as a prescriptive manual or a simple compendium of best practices, but rather as a tool to accompany the transformation processes currently underway in the contemporary cultural sector. Their primary objective is to provide interpretations, decision-making criteria, and practical references useful for critically and informedly addressing the challenges associated with digitalization, sustainability, and the development of cultural networks.

In this sense, the guidelines aim to support cultural practitioners in strengthening their professional skills, fostering greater strategic planning capacity, integrating cultural, technological, and social dimensions, and adapting to different territorial and organizational contexts. Emphasis is placed on the ability to transfer and adapt proposed content to local specificities, avoiding standardized models and instead promoting flexible, participatory, and locally rooted approaches.

The general objectives of the guidelines can be summarized as follows:

- ✓ promote an integrated understanding of digital and sustainable transformation processes in the cultural sector, with particular reference to independent festivals;
- ✓ Strengthen the capacity of cultural operators to plan and manage cultural events and initiatives in a conscious, inclusive, and socially impactful manner;
- ✓ foster the development of a culture of cooperation and networking at local, national, and European levels, as a lever for innovation and resilience in the sector.

In addition to these general objectives, the guidelines pursue a series of specific objectives, closely linked to the activities and results of the DEMO project. Specifically, they aim to:

- ✓ support the adoption of audience development and audience engagement practices based on a thorough understanding of audiences and the critical use of digital tools;
- ✓ promote the integration of environmental and social sustainability principles into the decision-making and organizational processes of cultural events;
- ✓ Facilitate access to tools, resources, and methodologies useful for the digital transformation of cultural organizations, with attention to different levels of digital literacy;



- ✓ stimulate the construction and strengthening of professional networks and transnational partnerships, promoting the exchange of knowledge and mutual learning;
- ✓ contribute to the development of transversal and reflective skills, essential for operating in an increasingly complex and interconnected cultural sector.

From this perspective, the guidelines are in constant dialogue with the multimedia toolkit and digital platform developed within the project, constituting the conceptual framework within which the various training and operational materials find coherence and meaning.

0.3 Methodology for building guidelines

The guidelines are the result of a process of applied research and co-construction involving project partners, cultural operators, festival organizers, and other stakeholders active in the European cultural sector. The methodology adopted is based on the integration of quantitative and qualitative tools, aiming to combine analytical rigor and operational relevance, in line with the approach of adult education and continuing training.

The first phase of the project focused on data collection through a structured questionnaire administered to a sample of European independent festivals. The questionnaire collected information regarding digitalization practices, audience development and engagement strategies, environmental and social sustainability initiatives, as well as the cooperation and networking methods adopted by the festival operators. The quantitative data collected was complemented by qualitative responses, useful for capturing perceptions, training needs, and emerging critical issues.

This phase was followed by in-depth qualitative research based on interviews and focus groups, which allowed for a more detailed exploration of the operators' experiences, organizational dynamics, and the contextual conditions in which festivals and cultural events unfold. This step played a key role in uncovering cross-cutting themes and critical issues that would have been difficult to address through quantitative analysis alone.

In parallel, the project included learning workshops and opportunities for discussion among the partners and professionals involved. These activities provided spaces for shared experimentation and reflection, where research findings were discussed, compared to existing practices, and translated into operational recommendations. The workshops also helped test the transferability of the proposed solutions and assess their adaptability to different contexts.

The guidelines are therefore the result of a circular process in which research, training, and practical experimentation feed each other. They do not constitute a definitive end point, but rather a dynamic tool, designed to be updated, enriched, and integrated through the use of the project's multimedia toolkit and digital platform. In this sense, the document reflects a



conception of knowledge as an open and shared process, oriented toward the continuous improvement of cultural practices and the construction of a more informed, collaborative, and sustainable European ecosystem.

Micro-box A – Guidelines and Toolkit: How to Use Them Together

<i>If you need...</i>	Use the guidelines	Use the toolkit
<i>Understanding the context</i>	to read the changes in the sector	–
<i>Building a vision</i>	to connect public, sustainability, digital and networks	–
<i>Guide your choices</i>	to clarify priorities and approaches	to translate choices into actions
<i>Do self-assessment</i>	–	to analyze strengths and weaknesses
<i>Plan activities</i>	–	to structure actions and processes
<i>Working with the team</i>	to share a common picture	to facilitate collective work
<i>Improving over time</i>	to reflect on the experience	to monitor, evaluate and adapt



How to read this micro-box

Principle	Practical advice
Complementarity	guidelines and toolkits are not substitutes
Progressivity	it is not necessary to use both at once
Adaptability	choose based on your context
Proportionality	calibrate use on resources and skills
Continuity	return to the tools in time

- ✓ the guidelines help you **think** ,
- ✓ the toolkit helps you **do** ,
- ✓ both help you **improve** .

1.1 Audience development

In the context of independent festivals and contemporary cultural events, the concept of *audience development* has assumed a central role, progressively overcoming a reductive view of the public as an indistinct set of spectators or consumers of cultural content. International literature defines audience development as a continuous and transformative process, aimed at expanding, diversifying, and strengthening the relationship between cultural organizations and their audiences, not only quantitatively, but above all qualitatively and relationally. From this perspective, the audience is no longer considered a final recipient of cultural offerings, but rather an active participant, involved in the processes of producing meaning, participation, and constructing cultural value.

Audience development is therefore a medium- to long-term strategy that spans the entire life cycle of an event or festival: from the design of artistic content to communication methods, from the organization of spaces and viewing times to the relationships established with the local area and communities. For independent festivals, often characterized by limited resources and strong local roots, audience development represents a fundamental lever for strengthening the overall sustainability of initiatives, consolidating relationships with existing audiences, and attracting new audiences.

The evidence emerging from the DEMO project shows how, in practice, audience development is frequently confused with promotional or marketing activities, reduced to strategies aimed at increasing attendance or media visibility. This overlap risks undermining the transformative

potential of audience development , which instead requires a broader and more integrated approach. Developing an audience means investing in understanding people's needs, motivations, and expectations, recognizing the plurality of audiences and their evolution over time, including in relation to social, technological, and cultural changes.

A key element of audience development is the ability of cultural organizations to collect and interpret data on their audiences, combining quantitative and qualitative tools. It's not simply about measuring the number of attendees or event occupancy rates, but rather understanding who the people are, how they learn about the initiatives, what experiences they have during the event, and how the festival becomes part of their cultural and social practices. In this sense, audience development is closely intertwined with digitalization processes, which offer new opportunities for analysis, listening, and interaction, but also require critical skills for informed data use.

From an organizational perspective, adopting an audience development strategy requires a cultural shift within organizations. It means rethinking roles, skills, and working methods, fostering greater integration between artistic, communication, and management dimensions. For independent festivals, this can translate into concrete practices such as engaging the public in the planning stages, building stable relationships with schools, associations, and local organizations, or focusing on the physical, economic, and cultural accessibility of events.

Ultimately, audience development represents one of the key elements for strengthening the social role of festivals and cultural events, contributing to the construction of more inclusive and participatory communities. Within the DEMO project, this approach is understood not as an isolated technique, but as a structural component of a broader vision of sustainable cultural development, in which audiences, operators, and territories are part of a dynamic and interdependent ecosystem.

Audience development is often interpreted reductively or inappropriately, risking losing its strategic and transformative dimension. Specifically, **it cannot be considered audience development :**

Box 1 – Audience development : what it is NOT

It's not audience development if...	Why it's misleading
coincides with the sole promotion of events	reduces the public to a passive recipient
it is limited to the use of social media	confuses tools with strategy
It is activated only before the event	lack of relational continuity
replicate standard actions	ignores context and territorial specificities
it only targets new audiences	neglects the care of the existing public

Audience development , on the other hand, requires listening, knowledge, and intentionality, and is based on the ability of cultural organizations to critically question their role, their ways of relating to communities, and the cultural and social impacts of their activities.

Micro-box B – Indicators of a good audience development strategy

An effective audience development strategy is based on several key elements, which can be applied differently depending on the organization's size, local context, and available resources:

Indicator	Guiding question
<i>Knowledge of the public</i>	Do we know who is participating and why?
<i>Continuity of the relationship</i>	does the relationship go beyond the single event?
<i>Internal integration</i>	Do art, communication and organization interact?
<i>Accessibility</i>	What barriers (economic, cultural, symbolic) remain?
<i>Adaptability</i>	Does the strategy evolve over time?

From this perspective, audience development becomes a thoughtful and intentional practice, capable of strengthening the connection between culture, territory, and community, contributing to the overall sustainability of cultural initiatives.

1.2 Audience engagement



While audience development concerns building and strengthening the relationship between cultural organizations and audiences over time, audience *engagement* represents the more experiential and participatory dimension of that relationship. Audience engagement refers to the set of practices, strategies, and mechanisms that aim to actively engage the public, fostering forms of participation, interaction, and identification that go beyond the simple enjoyment of the cultural event.

In the context of independent festivals, audience engagement takes on a particularly important role, as it helps transform the event into a space for shared experiences, capable of generating belonging, recognition, and continuity in the relationship with the relevant communities. Engaging the audience doesn't just mean stimulating immediate reactions or increasing interaction on digital channels, but creating the conditions for people to feel part of a cultural process, identifying with the values, narratives, and practices promoted by the festival.

Audience engagement practices can take different forms, ranging from direct participation in cultural activities to co-creation of content, from building communities before and after the event to using digital tools to facilitate interaction and dialogue. However, what the most effective strategies have in common is their ability to center the audience experience, understood as a relational, emotional, and social experience, and not simply as cultural consumption. In this sense, audience engagement is an intentional process that requires planning, care, and consistency with the organization's cultural identity.

The findings from the DEMO project show how many cultural organizations associate audience engagement primarily with gamification practices or interactive digital tools, risking reducing engagement to a superficial or episodic dimension. While these tools can play an important role, authentic engagement is built primarily through the quality of relationships established with the audience and the ability to offer meaningful opportunities for participation. This involves, for example, recognizing participants' skills and knowledge, involving local communities in the planning of activities, and openness to forms of dialogue that can truly influence organizational and artistic decisions.

A central aspect of audience engagement concerns the construction of cultural communities, understood as networks of people who share interests, values, and practices related to the festival or event experience. From this perspective, audience engagement doesn't end with the event itself, but extends over time, through communication efforts, meeting opportunities, educational activities, and interactive spaces, both physical and digital. Festivals thus become relational platforms, capable of activating participatory processes that contribute to social cohesion and the cultural vitality of local communities.

From an organizational perspective, developing audience engagement strategies requires critical reflection on event design and management methods. It's necessary to question who is involved, how, and with what agency, avoiding merely instrumental or symbolic approaches to



**Co-funded by
the European Union**

participation. In this sense, audience engagement is closely linked to the themes of inclusion, accessibility, and social sustainability, emphasizing the responsibility of cultural organizations to create open, welcoming, and truly participatory spaces.

Within the DEMO project, audience engagement is interpreted as an essential component of a broader strategy for sustainable cultural development. Audience engagement practices are considered not only as tools for strengthening relationships with participants, but also as levers for generating cultural and social value, promoting sustainable behavior, and consolidating the role of independent festivals as key players in local development processes and in building a shared European cultural identity.

Effective forms of audience engagement at independent festivals

The most effective audience engagement practices at independent festivals share some recurring characteristics, regardless of the event's scale or available resources. Specifically, the following are important:

Box 2 – Audience engagement: effective forms of engagement

<i>Phase</i>	<i>Engagement actions</i>
<i>Before the event</i>	anticipation, consultation, co-planning
<i>During the event</i>	interaction with artists, spaces for discussion, workshops
<i>After the event</i>	follow-up, feedback, ongoing dialogue
<i>Territory</i>	involvement of associations, schools, local networks
<i>Digital</i>	tools to support relationships and listening

These forms of engagement are particularly effective when they are consistent with the festival's cultural identity and when they recognize the audience's active and significant role, avoiding purely symbolic or instrumental approaches to participation.

1.3 Digital Marketing

In the context of independent festivals and cultural events, digital marketing has become an essential component of visibility, relationship, and economic sustainability strategies. However, its role cannot be reduced to a set of promotional techniques or the intensive use of specific communication channels. Digital marketing, when coherently integrated into a broader strategic vision, becomes a tool that supports audience development and engagement processes, helping to strengthen relationships with audiences and make cultural offerings more accessible and recognizable.

One of the main critical issues that emerged from the DEMO project is the tendency to equate digital marketing with social media presence alone or the ongoing production of promotional content. This approach risks generating fragmented, ineffective communication that is often misaligned with the festival's cultural identity. On the contrary, an effective digital marketing strategy requires intentional planning, based on clear objectives, knowledge of the target audience, and consistency between the messages, language, and values promoted by the organization.



Digital marketing encompasses a complex set of tools and practices, including social media management, newsletters and customer relationship management (CRM), content marketing strategies, search engine optimization (SEO and SEM), and, more recently, the use of artificial intelligence tools for data analysis and content personalization. The effectiveness of these tools, however, depends on their integration within a unified strategic plan, capable of avoiding resource dispersion and leveraging the specificities of the cultural context in which the festival operates.

A key aspect of digital marketing is the ability to use data thoughtfully and critically. Collecting and analyzing information about audience behavior, content consumption patterns, and communication performance can provide valuable insights for improving strategies. However, data use should not be driven solely by quantitative or immediate performance considerations. In cultural contexts, it is essential to complement numerical indicators with a qualitative analysis of interactions, taking into account the quality of the experience, the level of engagement, and the cultural value generated.

For independent festivals, often characterized by limited resources and streamlined organizational structures, digital marketing can represent an opportunity to expand their visibility without resorting to disproportionate investments. At the same time, it requires specific skills and careful management to avoid communication overload, dependence on platform algorithms, or a loss of authenticity in the narrative of the cultural experience. In this sense, digital marketing should be understood as a process of mediation between the festival's identity and its diverse audiences, rather than as a simple promotional activity.

Within the DEMO project, digital marketing is therefore considered an integrated component of a broader cultural strategy, geared towards sustainability and building lasting relationships with audiences. When consistent with the principles of audience development and engagement, digital marketing can contribute not only to increasing the visibility of events, but also to strengthening the social role of festivals, promoting sustainable behaviors, and supporting the promotion of emerging artists and the regions involved.

Digital marketing tools and channels for independent festivals

When defining a digital marketing strategy for independent festivals, it's helpful to consider available tools not as standard solutions to be adopted indiscriminately, but as **tools to be selected and combined based on cultural objectives, target audiences, and organizational resources**. Among the most common tools are:

Box 3 – Digital Marketing: Tools and Functions

<i>Instrument</i>	<i>Main function</i>
<i>Social media</i>	story of identity and processes
<i>Newsletter</i>	direct and continuous relationship
<i>CRM</i>	audience organization and segmentation
<i>Content marketing</i>	deepening and cultural value
<i>Analysis and monitoring</i>	critical reading of the actions

The effectiveness of these tools depends on their integration into a clear and coherent strategy, which avoids communication overexposure and takes into account organizational constraints and available expertise. For independent festivals, a targeted and informed choice of digital marketing tools can be a decisive factor in strengthening the visibility, recognition, and sustainability of their initiatives, without compromising the authenticity of the cultural experience offered.

From public to sustainability

The practices of audience development , audience engagement, and digital marketing, as outlined in this chapter, demonstrate how audience relationships cannot be separated from the broader cultural and social responsibilities of organizations operating in the independent events and festivals sector. Building meaningful relationships, actively engaging communities, and consistently communicating their values necessarily requires reflecting on how cultural initiatives impact local communities, people, and the environment.

From this perspective, sustainability is not a distinct or subsequent aspect of audience engagement strategies, but rather an intrinsic dimension. Organizational, communication, and participatory choices influence not only the quality of the cultural experience, but also the environmental and social impact of events. The following chapter explores these aspects in greater depth, proposing an integrated approach to sustainability as a guiding principle for the design, management, and development of independent festivals, continuing the relationship and engagement practices analyzed thus far.



Co-funded by
the European Union

Chapter 2

Integrated sustainability: environment, social responsibility and references

QUICK ORIENTATION – CHAPTER 2

Table 2.1 – How to use this chapter

<i>If you're looking for...</i>	<i>This chapter is useful to you if...</i>	<i>You do NOT need this chapter if...</i>
<i>Understanding what “sustainability” means for a festival</i>	you want to go beyond “green” as a label	you just want a list of actions to communicate
<i>Make more consistent choices in production and organization</i>	you want to integrate environment, society and economy	you want to “add” sustainability after the fact
<i>Reduce impacts without complicating your life</i>	you want proportionate and progressive interventions	standard rigid rims to be applied the same everywhere
<i>Making the festival more fair and accessible</i>	Do you want to work on inclusion, work, accessibility?	you want to treat sustainability only as CO ₂ and waste
<i>Navigate policies and references</i>	Do you want to connect to European/international frameworks?	you need specialized legal or regulatory advice

👉 When to read it: before planning production, logistics, accessibility and partnerships

👉 How to read it: first overview (2.1 and 2.4), then choose the area where you have more room for action (2.2 or 2.3)

Table 2.2 – What you will find / what you will NOT find

<i>Here you will find</i>	<i>You will NOT find here</i>
<i>an “integrated” definition of sustainability applied to festivals</i>	complete technical certifications or protocols
<i>key areas of intervention (energy, waste, mobility, supply chain, etc.)</i>	complete turnkey carbon footprint calculations
<i>guidance on inclusion, accessibility and social responsibility</i>	a detailed HR policy or contractual templates
<i>a realistic approach: graduality, measurement, improvement</i>	expensive or budget-dependent solutions
<i>links to European and international frameworks (for orientation)</i>	an exhaustive list of national regulations

 **Operational insights** : DEMO toolkit (light checklists, examples, self-assessment forms, adaptable templates)

Table 2.3 – Levels of application of sustainability

(choose where to start, not all at once)

<i>Level</i>	<i>What does this mean in practice?</i>	<i>Typical of festivals that...</i>
<i>Base</i>	they introduce a few targeted and conscious actions, without distorting the organization	they have limited resources, small teams, strong local roots
<i>Intermediate</i>	integrate sustainability into multiple areas (production, public, relations) in a coordinated way	they have a stable structure and begin to plan in the medium term
<i>Advanced</i>	they use sustainability as a strategic and identity criterion	participate in networks, European projects, structured experiments

Indicative examples (not exhaustive)

<i>Area</i>	<i>Base</i>	<i>Intermediate</i>	<i>Advanced</i>
<i>Environment</i>	plastic reduction, clear waste separation	sustainable mobility, local suppliers	impact monitoring, supply chain choices
<i>Social</i>	attention to accessibility and language	inclusive policies and differentiated prices	co-design with communities
<i>Organization</i>	consistent choices, even informal ones	shared and documented procedures	integration in governance and partnerships
<i>Communication</i>	transparency on what is done	story of the trials	educational and transformative use

How to use this Table

- it is not a scale of value: no level is “better” in absolute terms;
- the goal is not to “climb”, but to be consistent;
- Each festival can move at different speeds in different areas.

 In the DEMO toolkit: separate tools for each level, to avoid overload and frustration.

2.1 Principles of integrated sustainability

In the context of independent festivals and contemporary cultural events, the concept of sustainability requires an integrated and systemic approach, capable of overcoming a reductive vision focused exclusively on environmental aspects. Sustainability, in the cultural sphere, can be understood as a guiding principle that informs organizational, design, and relational choices, combining environmental, social, and economic dimensions in a medium- to long-term perspective. A sustainable cultural event is not simply an event with a low environmental impact, but an initiative that aims to generate lasting value for the communities, territories, and ecosystems in which it takes place.

The integrated sustainability approach recognizes that the three dimensions of sustainable development—environmental, social, and economic—are deeply interconnected and cannot be addressed separately. In the case of festivals, this means jointly considering the use of natural resources, working and participation conditions, the accessibility of initiatives, the relationship with the local economic fabric, and the event's ability to maintain financial stability over time. Ignoring any one of these dimensions risks compromising the overall balance and sustainability of the cultural project.

From an organizational perspective, adopting integrated sustainability principles requires a shift in perspective in the governance of cultural events. Choices regarding artistic programming, logistics, communication, and partnerships must be evaluated not only based on their immediate effectiveness, but also in relation to their long-term impacts. In this sense, sustainability becomes a cross-cutting criterion for evaluating and guiding decisions, rather than a set of corrective actions to be applied retrospectively.

A central aspect of integrated sustainability concerns the social and cultural dimension of events. Independent festivals often play a significant role in promoting cultural diversity, including marginalized groups and communities, and enhancing local cultural resources. Integrating sustainability therefore means examining who benefits from cultural initiatives, how participation opportunities are distributed, and what forms of relationships are established with the regions and communities involved. From this perspective, social sustainability is not an accessory, but an essential component of the festivals' cultural mission.

The integrated sustainability approach is also firmly anchored in European policies, which in recent years have promoted a cross-cutting vision of sustainable development, as highlighted by the United Nations 2030 Agenda and the European Green Deal. For cultural operators, aligning with these frameworks means not simply meeting regulatory requirements or funding criteria, but actively contributing to a broader transformation of cultural production and consumption models.



Within the DEMO project, integrated sustainability is embraced as one of the fundamental pillars for the innovative growth of independent festivals. These guidelines aim to provide cultural operators with conceptual and operational tools to view sustainability not as a constraint, but as an opportunity to rethink their organizational models, strengthen community ties, and develop cultural initiatives capable of generating positive and lasting impacts.

What does it mean to adopt an integrated sustainability approach?

Adopting an integrated sustainability approach means considering sustainability **as a cross-cutting principle** that guides the organization's choices as a whole, and not as a set of isolated actions or best practices to be applied separately.

Micro-box C – Integrated Sustainability: Guiding Criteria

<i>Criterion</i>	<i>Guiding question</i>
<i>Transversality</i>	Does sustainability guide all choices or just some actions?
<i>Design</i>	Is it considered from the beginning or only after the fact?
<i>Interdependencies</i>	Are environment, society and economy read together?
<i>Time horizon</i>	Do decisions take the medium to long term into account?
<i>Cultural mission</i>	Is sustainability part of the festival's identity?

✓ If you answer “yes” to most of the questions, you are working with an integrated perspective.

2.2 Good environmental practices

Good environmental practices represent one of the most visible and immediately recognizable aspects of sustainability in festivals and cultural events. However, for them to be truly effective and consistent with an integrated sustainability approach, it is necessary to move beyond a purely symbolic or communicative approach and instead focus on structural, measurable, and progressively improvable interventions. In cultural contexts, environmental practices should not be considered as ancillary actions, but as an integral part of the event design, organization, and evaluation processes.

For independent festivals, the adoption of sustainable environmental practices often faces significant organizational, economic, and logistical constraints. For this very reason, it is essential to prioritize interventions that are proportionate to available resources but capable of



producing tangible effects over time. The experiences analyzed in the DEMO project demonstrate how even small-scale actions can significantly contribute to reducing overall environmental impact, if integrated into a coherent and ongoing strategy.

The most significant areas of intervention include energy and water resource management, waste reduction and proper management, limiting mobility-related emissions, and focusing on materials used for exhibition design and communication. The use of renewable energy, the progressive elimination of single-use plastics, the promotion of effective waste sorting systems, and the selection of local and sustainable suppliers are examples of practices that can be adapted to different contexts, taking into account specific territorial and organizational characteristics.

A key aspect of good environmental practices is the ability to **measure and monitor** the impacts of actions undertaken. Tools such as environmental audits, consumption indicators, carbon footprint estimates, or simple internal tracking systems allow cultural operators to gain greater awareness of the impacts of their choices and guide future decisions. From this perspective, measurement should not be viewed as a technical or bureaucratic requirement, but as a tool for learning and continuous improvement.

Environmental practices also take on a strong educational and cultural dimension, especially when they are communicated and shared transparently with the public. Festivals can become privileged contexts for promoting sustainable behavior, stimulating reflection on the relationship between culture and the environment, and fostering greater participant empowerment. This educational role is particularly effective when environmental actions are presented not as impositions, but as an integral part of the proposed cultural experience.

In line with European sustainability policies, such as the Green Deal and CO₂ emissions reduction targets, good environmental practices at independent festivals can concretely contribute to ecological transition goals, while operating at a local scale. In this sense, cultural operators' environmental commitment not only reduces the impact of events, but also strengthens the cultural sector's position as a responsible and conscious player in sustainable development processes.

Within the DEMO project, good environmental practices are therefore interpreted as a field of experimentation and learning, in which cultural operators are called upon to develop adaptive, contextual, and shared solutions. The guidelines do not propose rigid or standardized models, but rather aim to offer useful references and criteria for informed choices, encouraging a gradual path of environmental improvement consistent with the cultural mission of independent festivals.

Key areas of intervention for the environmental sustainability of festivals



To initiate or strengthen a path towards environmental sustainability, independent festivals can focus on some **priority areas of intervention**, adapting the actions to their size, territorial context and available resources:

Box 4 – Environmental sustainability: areas of intervention

Area	Scope of action
<i>Energy and consumption</i>	renewable sources, efficiency, waste reduction
<i>Waste management</i>	upstream reduction, separate waste collection
<i>Mobility and transport</i>	audience, artists, staff, logistics
<i>Materials and fittings</i>	reuse, recycling, sustainable materials
<i>Suppliers and supply chain</i>	environmental criteria in choices
<i>Water and natural resources</i>	reduction of consumption and waste

👉 each area can be addressed **gradually and proportionately**.

Addressing one or more of these areas doesn't necessarily require significant investment, but it does require **thoughtful planning** and the gradual integration of environmental practices into the festival's day-to-day operations. Even small changes, if consistently implemented and monitored over time, can significantly reduce the overall environmental impact.

2.3 Social responsibility and inclusion

Alongside the environmental dimension, the sustainability of independent festivals is equally crucially grounded in **social responsibility** and the ability to promote inclusive, equitable practices that foster the well-being of the communities involved. In the cultural sphere, social sustainability concerns the way events impact people: artists, workers, volunteers, audiences, and local communities. It directly questions the cultural purposes of festivals and their role as spaces for symbolic production, participation, and social cohesion.

Independent festivals often operate in specific local contexts, characterized by different cultural identities, resources, and vulnerabilities. In this context, assuming social responsibility means acknowledging one's impact on the local area and guiding organizational decisions

toward enhancing local resources, engaging communities, and creating accessible and inclusive participation opportunities. This can translate, for example, into supporting emerging and local artists, collaborating with associations and third-sector organizations, or developing cultural programs sensitive to the social and cultural needs of the local context.

A central element of social sustainability is **accessibility**, understood in the broadest sense. Making a festival accessible means not only breaking down physical barriers, but also reducing economic, symbolic, and cultural obstacles that can limit the participation of certain groups. Differentiated pricing policies, careful attention to communication language, inclusive programming, and targeted actions toward traditionally less engaged audiences are some of the strategies through which festivals can broaden their participatory base and strengthen their social impact.

Social responsibility also concerns the **working conditions** and professional relationships that develop around cultural events. Sustainable festivals are those that promote fair practices towards artists, technicians, staff, and collaborators, recognizing the value of cultural work and combating forms of precariousness and exploitation. In this sense, social sustainability is intertwined with the economic dimension, emphasizing the need for organizational models capable of ensuring the continuity, quality, and dignity of work in the cultural sector.

Another aspect concerns the promotion of **cultural and gender diversity**, a cornerstone of European cultural policies. Independent festivals can play an active role in combating stereotypes, inequalities, and discrimination through informed programming choices, the representation of a variety of voices, and the creation of safe and welcoming spaces for all participants. In this sense, inclusion is not a declarative goal, but a process that requires constant attention, self-reflection, and the ability to question established practices.

Within the DEMO project, social responsibility and inclusion are considered essential dimensions of an integrated sustainability strategy. The guidelines aim to support cultural operators in recognizing the social value of festivals and strengthening their role as actors in cultural and community development. Integrating social responsibility and inclusion into organizational processes ultimately contributes to the construction of more equitable, participatory, and resilient cultural ecosystems, capable of generating shared and lasting benefits for the regions and people involved.

Social responsibility and inclusion practices in independent festivals

Integrating social responsibility and inclusion into the planning and management of independent festivals means adopting conscious practices that impact participation methods, professional relationships, and connections with local communities. Among the most significant actions are:

Box 5 – Social responsibility and inclusion: areas of action

Scope	Possible practices
<i>Cultural accessibility</i>	inclusive prices, clear language
<i>Physical and sensory accessibility</i>	attention to disabilities and specific needs
<i>Diversity and Representation</i>	gender balance, plurality of voices
<i>Local communities</i>	collaborations with schools, associations, and the third sector
<i>Working conditions</i>	transparency, fairness, recognition
<i>Safe spaces</i>	prevention of discrimination and inappropriate behavior

✓ Inclusion is an **ongoing process** , not a statement.

Adopting these practices doesn't necessarily require significant additional resources, but rather a **clear organizational intention** and the ability to integrate the social dimension into daily decisions. In this sense, social responsibility and inclusion represent not only a

2.4 International regulations and references

The environmental and social sustainability practices adopted by independent festivals are part of a broader regulatory and strategic framework, defined at the European and international levels. Knowing and understanding these guidelines does not mean formally adhering to rigid standards or responding solely to funding requirements, but rather recognizing the cultural sector's role as an active player in sustainable development and ecological and social transition processes.

At the international level, the **United Nations 2030 Agenda** and its related **Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)** represent a central reference for public policies and organizations operating in the cultural sector. In particular, objectives such as reducing inequalities, promoting sustainable cities and communities, decent work, and combating climate change offer a framework within which festivals can place their actions, enhancing their cultural and social impact.

At the European level, the **European Union's Green Deal** introduced an integrated vision of sustainability, setting ambitious goals for reducing greenhouse gas emissions, efficient use of resources, and transitioning to more sustainable economic models. While these policies are

often associated with industrial or infrastructure sectors, they also involve the cultural sector, which is called upon to contribute to the ecological transition through responsible practices, awareness-raising processes, and experimentation with alternative models of cultural production and enjoyment.

Alongside these general frameworks, there are initiatives and guidelines specifically dedicated to the events and festivals sector. Among these, the recommendations promoted by European networks of cultural operators and industry bodies are particularly important. They propose self-assessment tools, thematic checklists, and voluntary standards for sustainable event management. These guidelines offer useful operational guidance, while leaving room for adaptation based on the size and characteristics of individual festivals.

For cultural operators, the value of these regulatory and strategic frameworks lies primarily in the ability to **align their practices with a shared vision**, strengthening the coherence between cultural action, social responsibility, and environmental sustainability. In this sense, knowledge of European and international policies can foster greater awareness of the role of independent festivals as laboratories of social and cultural innovation, capable of translating global objectives into concrete local practices.

Within the DEMO project, these frameworks serve as a backdrop and guide for the proposed actions, without the intention of imposing unambiguous models or forced standardization. Rather, the guidelines encourage cultural operators to use these references as tools for understanding and strategic positioning, useful for strengthening the quality, credibility, and impact of cultural initiatives in the European context.

From sustainability to networks

The environmental and social dimensions of sustainability, as outlined in this chapter, highlight how independent festivals operate within complex systems, characterized by interdependencies between actors, territories, and resources. The ability to adopt sustainable practices does not depend solely on the choices of individual operators, but is closely linked to the quality of the relationships and collaborations they build over time. In this sense, sustainability is seen not only as an individual or organizational responsibility, but as a collective process, requiring cooperation, knowledge sharing, and mutual learning.

Building networks and partnerships is therefore a key lever for strengthening the impact of sustainable practices and overcoming the structural limitations that often characterize independent festivals in terms of resources, skills, and visibility. Through networking, cultural operators can access experiences, tools, and opportunities that foster innovation, the dissemination of best practices, and the experimentation of more resilient organizational models.



**Co-funded by
the European Union**

The following chapter explores the role of networking and the development of European networks as strategic components for the sustainable growth of the cultural sector. Continuing with the themes discussed so far, the focus shifts to how transnational cooperation, dialogue between organizations, and participation in cultural networks can help strengthen the capacity of independent festivals to address contemporary challenges and generate cultural and social value on a larger scale.



Co-funded by
the European Union

Chapter 3

Networking, networks and European cooperation



QUICK ORIENTATION – CHAPTER 3

Table 3.1 – How to use this chapter

<i>If you're looking for...</i>	<i>This chapter is useful to you if...</i>	<i>You do NOT need this chapter if...</i>
<i>Understanding why networking really matters</i>	you want to move beyond casual networking	you are only looking for useful short-term contacts
<i>Improve collaboration and exchange</i>	you want to learn from other festivals and operators	you want to remain completely independent
<i>Entering European networks in an informed manner</i>	you are interested in growing gradually	you are looking for shortcuts to get funding
<i>Evaluate partnerships and projects</i>	you want to understand if you are ready to cooperate	you want to join networks without active participation
<i>Connecting local and European</i>	you want to enhance your territory in wider networks	you are looking for standard cooperation models

👉 When to read it: Before joining a network, starting partnerships, or participating in projects

👉 How to read it: As a strategic compass, not as a list of opportunities

Table 3.2 – What you will find / what you will NOT find

<i>Here you will find</i>	<i>You will NOT find here</i>
<i>a reading of networking as a relational infrastructure</i>	exhaustive lists of tenders or networks
<i>criteria for choosing networks and partners</i>	network rankings or classifications
<i>examples of sustainable cooperation</i>	formulas for “winning” projects
<i>attention to roles, times and resources</i>	rigid partnership models
<i>connections between networking and sustainability</i>	cooperation reduced to bureaucracy

🌀 Operational insights: DEMO toolkit (network maps, partnership examples, decision boards)

Table 3.3 – Levels of involvement in networks

(not all networks require the same commitment)

Level	What does this mean in practice?	Typical of festivals that...
<i>Base</i>	participate in networks as active observers	are in the early stages or with limited resources
<i>Intermediate</i>	contribute to exchange and common initiatives	they have a stable structure and consolidated relationships
<i>Advanced</i>	co-design, lead or facilitate networks	they operate on a European scale and in complex projects

Guiding examples of involvement

Scope	Base	Intermediate	Advanced
<i>Participation</i>	networking events, informal exchanges	working groups, co-productions	governance of networks and projects
<i>Contribution</i>	sharing experiences	development of common activities	leadership and facilitation
<i>Resources</i>	limited time	time and skills	time, skills and responsibilities
<i>Impact</i>	individual learning	organizational strengthening	systemic and territorial impact

How to use this Table

- networking is not mandatory, but it is a strategic choice;
- each level is legitimate if consistent with real capabilities;
- joining a network can be a progressive and reversible process.

 **In the DEMO toolkit:** tools for assessing readiness, examples of networks and adaptable cooperation models.

3.1 Importance of networking

In the contemporary context of independent festivals and cultural events, networking represents an increasingly important strategic dimension, going far beyond simply building contacts or the occasional exchange of information. Cultural networks can be understood as true **relational infrastructures**, capable of supporting processes of learning, innovation, and sustainable development within a sector characterized by organizational fragmentation and often limited resources.

For independent festivals, networking plays a crucial role in overcoming operational isolation and strengthening their ability to address complex challenges, such as digitalization, environmental and social sustainability, audience diversification, and the professionalization of organizational practices. Through participation in formal and informal networks, cultural professionals can access knowledge, skills, and tools that would otherwise be difficult to access individually, benefiting from shared experiences and collective learning processes.

A key aspect of networking is the ability to **exchange best practices** and adapt them to different contexts, avoiding rigid models or standardized solutions. Networks foster the circulation of ideas, methodologies, and innovative approaches, enabling practitioners to experiment with new forms of collaboration and co-design. In this sense, networking helps strengthen the cultural sector's ability to respond flexibly to changes and emerging needs, transforming the diversity of contexts into a resource.

The value of networking isn't limited to the professional dimension, but extends to building relationships of trust and mutual recognition between organizations and professionals. These relationships form the basis for developing lasting partnerships and implementing joint projects, particularly at the transnational level. For independent festivals, often rooted in specific territories, participating in broader networks allows them to broaden their scope of action while strengthening their ties with local communities.

From a sustainability perspective, networking is a key lever for sharing resources, reducing duplication, and increasing the effectiveness of actions undertaken. Cooperation between festivals and cultural organizations can, for example, foster the sharing of sustainable solutions, the pooling of digital tools, or the implementation of coordinated initiatives, with both economic and environmental benefits. In this sense, networks contribute to building more resilient cultural ecosystems, capable of collectively addressing uncertainties and crises.

Within the DEMO project, networking is considered not as a goal in itself, but as an **enabling condition** for the innovative and sustainable growth of cultural operators. The guidelines encourage networks to be interpreted as spaces for collaboration and continuous learning, where knowledge sharing and partnership building are key elements for strengthening the role of independent festivals in the European cultural landscape.

Why and how to join a cultural network

Joining a cultural network doesn't simply mean formally joining a network, but rather **activating a process of mutual interaction and learning** that can significantly strengthen the operational and strategic capacity of an independent festival. The main motivations that drive cultural operators to invest in networking include:

Box 6 – Networking: Why Join a Cultural Network?

<i>Motivation</i>	<i>What it concretely enables</i>
<i>Access to knowledge</i>	exchange of experiences and practices
<i>Collaboration</i>	joint projects, co-productions, exchanges
<i>Visibility</i>	recognition and positioning
<i>Sharing resources</i>	time and cost optimization
<i>Collective learning</i>	continuous improvement of practices

👉 Joining a network is only useful if **it involves real participation** .

For network participation to be effective, it's important that membership be guided by clear intentions. Specifically, cultural practitioners should consider what objectives they intend to pursue, what skills they can share, and how much they are willing to invest in terms of time and active participation. Networks work best when they are experienced as spaces for genuine collaboration, not simply as showcases or conduits for occasional opportunities.

Conscious participation in networking also involves the ability to adapt networking practices to one's organizational context, avoiding imitative models or formal memberships devoid of concrete impact. In this sense, joining a cultural network means taking an active role within a broader ecosystem, contributing to the construction of lasting relationships and shared value.

3.2 Examples of European networks and associations

The European cultural landscape includes numerous networks and associations that play a key role in supporting cooperation, the circulation of knowledge, and the professional development of cultural professionals. For independent festivals, understanding these organizations isn't simply about identifying potential partners, but about understanding how networks can function as **intermediary spaces** , facilitating access to opportunities, resources, and transnational learning processes.

European cultural networks differ in mission, structure, and scope, but they share the goal of strengthening the cultural sector through collaboration and exchange. Some of them operate as platforms for representation and advocacy, engaging with European institutions and contributing to the development of cultural policies; others focus more on operational support, training, and creating opportunities for professionals to meet. For independent festivals, joining a network can therefore meet different needs, ranging from international visibility to building project partnerships.

Among the most significant networks at the European level are those supported by European Union programs, such as the **networks of cultural organizations funded by Creative Europe**, which promote transnational cooperation and the development of joint projects. In this context, networks represent a privileged context for experimenting with new models of collaboration, sharing innovative practices, and strengthening the European dimension of cultural initiatives.

A significant example is represented by the **European Festivals Association (EFA)**, which brings together festivals and cultural organizers from numerous European countries. Through networking activities, training programs, advocacy initiatives, and thematic projects, EFA promotes the exchange of experiences and the dissemination of quality standards in the festival sector. For independent festivals, participation in such networks can offer opportunities for international exchange and positioning within a broader cultural ecosystem.

In addition to networks specifically dedicated to festivals, there are other thematic and territorial networks that can be relevant, such as the **UNESCO Creative Cities networks**, platforms dedicated to artistic and cultural mobility, or informal consortia that bring together organizations active in specific disciplinary fields. These networks foster the connection between culture, territory, and local development, offering festivals opportunities for collaboration that go beyond the strictly artistic sector.

It's important to emphasize that not all networks meet the same needs, and the decision to join a network should be guided by a careful assessment of its objectives, participation methods, and concrete implications for the organization. In this sense, networks should not be viewed as neutral or automatically advantageous spaces, but as relational contexts that require commitment, continuity, and the ability to actively contribute.

Within the DEMO project, the focus on European networks is part of a vision of networking as a strategic lever for the sustainable growth of independent festivals. The guidelines invite cultural operators to explore the opportunities offered by existing networks, critically evaluating their potential and using them as spaces for learning, cooperation, and experimentation, capable of strengthening both the local and European dimensions of cultural initiatives.

How to choose the most suitable cultural network

Participation in a cultural network can represent a significant opportunity for independent festivals, but only if the choice of network is consistent with the organization's objectives, organizational capabilities, and cultural vision. Before joining a network, it's helpful to ask yourself a few key questions:

Box 7 – Choosing a cultural network: evaluation criteria

<i>Criterion</i>	<i>Guiding question</i>
<i>Consistency</i>	Does the network share the festival's values and vision?
<i>Commitment required</i>	Are time and resources sustainable?
<i>Opportunity</i>	Does it offer exchanges, training, or concrete projects?
<i>Balance</i>	Are the benefits and responsibilities proportionate?
<i>Composition</i>	Is the network also open to small-scale entities?
<i>Growth</i>	does it allow for evolution and progressive roles?

✓ a network works if **it creates mutual value** , not just individual opportunities.

Choosing a network consciously means recognizing that networking is not a goal in itself, but a strategic tool. Targeted and intentional participation allows independent festivals to avoid wasting energy and fully leverage the opportunities offered by cultural cooperation at the European level.

3.3 Cooperation and partnerships

Cooperation and partnership building represent a natural evolution of networking activities and are one of the most effective tools for transforming relationships between cultural operators into **concrete and structured actions** . For independent festivals, partnerships are not only a means of accessing funding or project opportunities, but a strategic lever for strengthening skills, expanding the impact of initiatives, and experimenting with new organizational and cultural models.

Cultural cooperation is based on the ability to share goals, resources, and responsibilities between diverse organizations, often from diverse geographical and cultural contexts. In this sense, effective partnerships are not formed solely in response to a call for proposals or funding opportunity, but are built over time, through relationships of trust, mutual understanding, and



aligned visions. The experiences analyzed in the DEMO project demonstrate how the strongest partnerships are those that leverage each partner's specific expertise and recognize diversity as an enrichment, rather than a hindrance.

European programs, such as **Erasmus+** , **Creative Europe** , and other transnational cooperation initiatives, offer a structured framework for developing partnerships between cultural operators. These programs do not simply fund activities, but promote collaborative approaches geared toward exchanging practices, training, and innovation. For independent festivals, participating in European projects can mean accessing new skills, experimenting with digital tools, strengthening the international dimension of their activities, and contributing to the construction of a shared European culture.

However, project cooperation also poses significant challenges. Managing partnerships requires organizational skills, administrative expertise, and a clear definition of roles and responsibilities. Without adequate governance, partnerships risk becoming a source of complexity and conflict, compromising the effectiveness of the actions undertaken. For this reason, it is essential that independent festivals carefully evaluate their level of preparation and available resources before committing to complex projects, prioritizing gradual growth paths.

A particularly important aspect of cooperation is the possibility of **integrating local and transnational dimensions** . European partnerships allow festivals to leverage their territorial specificities within broader networks, fostering the exchange between local practices and international perspectives. In this way, cooperation does not lead to a homogenization of cultural experiences, but rather contributes to strengthening the plurality and diversity of the European cultural landscape.

Within the DEMO project, cooperation and partnerships are considered key tools to support the sustainable growth of cultural operators. The guidelines encourage independent festivals to view collaborative projects not as an end in itself, but as a means to strengthen their capacities, broaden the impact of their initiatives, and actively contribute to the development of European cultural networks based on collaboration, mutual learning, and shared responsibility.

How to build an effective cultural partnership

Building an effective cultural partnership requires time, intentionality, and a clear definition of mutual expectations. For independent festivals, which often operate with limited resources, it is particularly important to adopt a realistic and gradual approach to project cooperation. Some key elements can guide this process:

Box 8 – Cultural partnerships: key elements

<i>Element</i>	<i>Function</i>
<i>Shared vision</i>	alignment of goals and values
<i>Complementarity</i>	development of skills
<i>Clear roles</i>	conflict prevention
<i>Equity</i>	balanced distribution of activities and benefits
<i>Communication</i>	coordination and trust
<i>Adaptability</i>	ability to evolve over time

👉 A good partnership **begins before the project** and can last beyond.

An effective partnership doesn't end with the duration of a single project, but can form the basis for future collaborations and the building of broader networks. In this sense, investing in the quality of relationships is a key element for strengthening the sustainability and impact of cultural initiatives in the medium and long term.

From networks to digital transformation

The networks, cooperation, and partnerships analyzed in this chapter demonstrate how the ability to collaborate represents a fundamental strategic resource for independent festivals. However, for these relationships to produce lasting effects and truly impact organizational and cultural models, they must be accompanied by processes of internal transformation capable of strengthening skills, tools, and working methods. In this sense, networking is not only a relational dimension, but also a catalyst for change, encouraging organizations to rethink their practices and equip themselves with adequate tools to operate in increasingly complex and interconnected contexts.

Digital transformation is one of the areas where this shift is most evident. Collaboration between organizations, the exchange of practices, and participation in transnational projects require digital infrastructure, specific skills, and a strategic vision capable of integrating technology, culture, and sustainability. Without adequate investment in the digital dimension, the potential of networks risks remaining partial or untapped.

The following chapter therefore delves into the digital transformation of cultural institutions, not simply as the adoption of technological tools, but as a process of organizational and



**Co-funded by
the European Union**

cultural change. Continuing with what has been discussed so far, the focus is on the strategies, skills, and governance decisions required for independent festivals to leverage digital technologies to strengthen their cultural mission, improve the sustainability of their initiatives, and expand the impact of the networks they have built over time.



Co-funded by
the European Union

Chapter 4

Digital Transformation: Strategy, Tools, and Skills



QUICK ORIENTATION – CHAPTER 4

Table 4.1 – How to use this chapter

<i>If you're looking for...</i>	<i>This chapter is useful to you if...</i>	<i>You do NOT need this chapter if...</i>
<i>Understanding what “digital” means for a festival</i>	you want to go beyond social media and communication	you are only looking for technical tools to install
<i>Giving coherence to technological choices</i>	you want to connect digital, mission and sustainability	you want to adopt tools without a strategy
<i>Improve organization and collaboration</i>	you want to work better with teams and partners	you are looking for immediate solutions without internal changes
<i>Using data wisely</i>	you want to understand how to collect and read information	you are looking for advanced complex analysis systems
<i>Strengthen networks and projects</i>	Do you participate or want to participate in collaborations?	you work in a totally isolated way

👉 When to read it: Before introducing new tools or platforms

👉 How to read it: As a guideline, not as a technical manual

Table 4.2 – What you will find / what you will NOT find

<i>Here you will find</i>	<i>You will NOT find here</i>
<i>a vision of digital as an organizational process</i>	technical tutorials or software guides
<i>attention to strategy and governance</i>	lists of “best” tools
<i>information on roles, data and responsibilities</i>	expensive or complex technological solutions
<i>connections between digital, public and networks</i>	driven ” approaches disconnected from culture

a gradual and sustainable approach forced digital transformations

 **Operational insights:** DEMO toolkit (tool sheets, setup examples, lightweight digital governance models)

Table 4.3 – Digital maturity levels

(not all digital is useful to everyone in the same way)

Level	What does this mean in practice?	Typical of festivals that...
<i>Base</i>	use essential digital tools consistently	have small teams and limited resources
<i>Intermediate</i>	integrate organizational tools and processes	work with partners and networks
<i>Advanced</i>	they use digital as a strategic lever	participate in complex and transnational projects

Guideline examples of application

Scope	Base	Intermediate	Advanced
<i>Communication</i>	website, social media, newsletter	CRM, audience segmentation	informed strategies
<i>Organization</i>	basic shared tools	digital project management	structured workflows
<i>Data</i>	minimal and conscious collection	analysis to improve choices	impact assessment
<i>Networks</i>	essential digital communication	stable online collaboration	shared platforms

How to use this Table

- digital is not an innovation race;
- each level is valid if consistent with objectives and abilities;
- the priority is to avoid technological overload and dependence.

 **In the DEMO toolkit:** tools selected by level, with attention to simplicity, costs and sustainability over time.

4.1 Digital strategy and governance

The digital transformation of cultural institutions cannot be reduced to the adoption of technological tools or the introduction of new operating platforms. For independent festivals, digital represents a **strategic dimension**, impacting organizational models, decision-making processes, and the ways in which they interact with audiences, partners, and regions. Discussing digital strategy and governance therefore means examining how technologies are integrated consistently with the organization's cultural mission and the sustainability and development objectives outlined in the previous chapters.

An effective digital strategy relies on the ability to define clear and realistic priorities, avoiding fragmented or purely reactive approaches. In cultural contexts, the adoption of digital tools often occurs in response to immediate needs—such as online communication, subscription management, or content distribution—without a comprehensive vision to guide their use over time. Conversely, a digital strategy requires prior consideration of the objectives to be achieved, the target audience, and the available resources, taking into account internal expertise and the potential for external collaboration.

Digital governance encompasses the set of organizational decisions that govern the use of technology within a cultural institution. It includes the definition of roles and responsibilities, data management, information security, the sustainability of digital infrastructure, and the ability to adapt to technological changes. For independent festivals, often characterized by flexible organizational structures and a strong reliance on temporary collaborations, digital governance is particularly important, as it ensures continuity, consistency, and reliability in digital processes.

A central aspect of digital governance is data management, which represents a strategic resource for understanding audiences, improving organizational practices, and assessing the impact of activities. However, data use also entails ethical and regulatory responsibilities, related to privacy protection, information security, and user transparency. Integrating these aspects into digital governance means adopting a conscious and responsible approach to digital, aligned with the organization's cultural and social values.

Digital transformation is also intertwined with the networking and cooperation processes analyzed in the previous chapter. European cultural networks and partnerships require digital tools for communication, project management, and knowledge sharing. In this sense, a well-defined digital strategy strengthens festivals' ability to actively participate in transnational networks, facilitating collaboration and innovation. At the same time, engaging with other actors within networks can foster the evolution of digital practices and a greater awareness of the opportunities offered by technology.

Within the DEMO project, digital strategy and governance are considered fundamental components to support the innovative growth of cultural institutions. These guidelines encourage independent festivals to consider digital not as a separate or exclusively technical area, but as a cross-cutting lever capable of strengthening audience relations, improving organizational efficiency, and supporting the overall sustainability of cultural initiatives.

What it means to have a digital strategy for an independent festival

Having a digital strategy doesn't mean having advanced technologies or resources dedicated exclusively to digital, but **making informed choices** about how to use digital tools based on the festival's cultural and organizational goals.

In concrete terms, a digital strategy implies:

Micro-box D – Digital Strategy: Essentials

<i>Element</i>	<i>Guiding question</i>
<i>Purpose</i>	Why do we use digital tools?
<i>Priority</i>	What tools are really necessary?
<i>Integration</i>	Does digital communicate with existing processes?
<i>Responsibility</i>	Who manages content, data, and tools?
<i>Evolution</i>	Is the strategy updated over time?

✓ a digital strategy exists even without complex technologies, if the choices are made consciously.

From this perspective, the digital strategy becomes a tool for orientation and coherence, allowing independent festivals to use digital technologies sustainably, effectively, and consistently with their cultural identity.

4.2 Digital tools and platforms

Digital tools and platforms are a key component of the digital transformation of independent festivals, but their effectiveness depends largely on their ability to integrate them into a coherent and sustainable strategy. Without a clear vision, there is a risk of adopting technological solutions piecemeal, generating organizational complexity and management overhead without real benefits for the organization or its audiences.

In the context of festivals, digital tools can support several key functions: communication and promotion, audience and relationship management, internal organization, collaboration with partners, and data collection for activity evaluation. The choice of platforms should therefore begin with an analysis of the organization's specific needs, taking into account the available expertise and the long-term sustainability of the solutions adopted.

Among the most commonly used tools are **digital communication channels** , such as websites, social media, and newsletters, which often constitute the first point of contact between the festival and its audience. Alongside these, **customer relationship management (CRM)** systems are playing an increasingly important role, allowing for the organization of information, segmentation of contacts, and more effective personalization of communications. For independent festivals, even simple, low-cost solutions can offer significant benefits, if used thoughtfully and comprehensively.

Another area concerns **organizational management and collaboration tools** , such as project management platforms, shared storage, and internal communication. These tools are particularly relevant in contexts characterized by distributed teams, temporary collaborations, and transnational partnerships. Effective digital management of internal processes helps improve coordination, reduce errors, and ensure continuity, especially in organizations with flexible structures.

Digital platforms can also foster new forms of **audience participation and engagement** , through interactive content, streaming, digital archives, or feedback tools. However, it is important that these solutions be adopted consistently with cultural objectives and organizational capabilities, avoiding instrumental or purely technological use that risks depleting the cultural experience rather than enriching it.

An often overlooked aspect when choosing digital tools concerns **platform sustainability and governance** . Reliance on proprietary solutions, data management, maintenance costs, and skills development are all factors that must be carefully considered. In this sense, favoring interoperable, scalable tools that adhere to data protection and accessibility principles can help make digital transformation more robust and long-lasting.

Within the DEMO project, digital tools and platforms are intended as levers to strengthen the organizational capacity of independent festivals and support networking, participation, and sustainability processes. The guidelines encourage cultural operators to make informed technological choices, geared not toward innovation for its own sake, but toward building digital ecosystems consistent with their cultural mission and the needs of audiences and regions.

Digital tools: what functions do they support?

To make informed choices about digital tools and platforms, it can be helpful to start from the **organizational and cultural functions** that digital technology is designed to support, rather than the technologies themselves. Below are some general guidelines:

Box 9 – Digital tools: organizational functions

Scope	Supported function
<i>Website</i>	access to information and identity
<i>Social media</i>	relationship and story
<i>Newsletter</i>	direct communication
<i>CRM</i>	audience management and segmentation
<i>Project management</i>	team coordination
<i>Shared storage</i>	continuity and organizational memory

👉 even simple tools become effective if **they are consistent and sustainable** .

Matching tools to functions allows independent festivals to avoid the indiscriminate adoption of platforms and build a **coherent, sustainable digital ecosystem commensurate** with their resources and expertise. In this sense, technological choice becomes an integral part of the organization's organizational and cultural strategy.

4.3 Digital skills and training

The digital transformation of independent festivals cannot be achieved without adequate investment in the skills of those working within cultural organizations. Technologies, no matter how advanced, are ineffective if they aren't accompanied by the ability to use them consciously, critically, and strategically. In this sense, digital skills represent a key enabling factor for making the adoption of digital tools and platforms sustainable over time.

In the context of independent festivals, the required digital skills are often unevenly distributed among staff, collaborators, and volunteers. Added to this are the presence of temporary teams and the frequent rotation of those involved, making it difficult to consolidate shared knowledge and practices. For this reason, digital training should be conceived not as a one-off intervention, but as a **continuous learning process** , integrated into the organizational life of the cultural institution.



Digital skills are not limited to the technical ability to use specific tools, but also include transversal skills such as data management, online communication, remote collaboration, digital security, and understanding the ethical and social implications of technology. In the cultural field, developing **critical** digital literacy is particularly important, enabling assessment of the impact of technological choices on cultural experiences, audiences, and inclusion processes.

Digital training can take various forms, adaptable to the size and resources of independent festivals. In addition to structured training programs, informal and collaborative learning practices, such as the exchange of skills within networks, peer mentoring, and the sharing of operational experiences, are particularly effective. In this sense, the networking and partnerships analyzed in Chapter 3 also represent a valuable resource for developing digital skills.

Another factor concerns organizations' ability to **retain and capitalize on acquired skills**. Documenting procedures, creating internal guidelines, and encouraging the circulation of knowledge within the organization helps reduce dependence on individual figures and ensure greater continuity over time. For independent festivals, this approach is particularly important to prevent digital transformation from remaining tied to individual skills and failing to translate into shared organizational heritage.

Within the DEMO project, digital skills and training are considered key elements for strengthening the autonomy and resilience of cultural institutions. The guidelines encourage independent festivals to invest in skills development as an integral part of their digital strategy, recognizing that the ability to learn, adapt, and innovate represents one of the most important resources for addressing future challenges in the cultural sector.

How to develop digital skills sustainably

Developing digital skills within an independent festival doesn't necessarily mean implementing complex or expensive training programs, but rather adopting a gradual and intentional approach to learning. Some strategies can facilitate this process:

Box 10 – Digital Governance: Areas of Focus

Area	Why it's relevant
<i>Roles and processes</i>	ensures continuity and clarity
<i>Data management</i>	supports informed decisions
<i>Privacy and security</i>	user and organization protection



Technical sustainability | avoid addictions and hidden costs

Adaptability | allows you to react to changes

✓ Digital governance is **organizational** , not just technical.

Adopting these strategies allows independent festivals to build a shared digital skills base over time, reducing dependence on individual figures and strengthening the organization's ability to autonomously and consciously navigate digital transformation processes.

From digital to evaluation

The digital strategies, tools, and skills analyzed in this chapter highlight how the digital transformation of independent festivals is a cross-cutting process, impacting the ways in which they plan, manage, and engage with audiences and partners. However, for these transformations to produce real and lasting effects, they must be accompanied by monitoring and evaluation practices capable of measuring not only operational performance, but also the cultural, social, and organizational impacts generated by the activities.

Digital, in this sense, is not just a set of tools, but a fundamental resource for collecting data, analyzing information, and supporting critical reflection on adopted practices. Without a structured evaluation, the risk is that the innovations introduced will remain isolated or not fully understood, making it difficult to guide future decisions and improve the quality of cultural initiatives.

The following chapter then addresses the topic of evaluation and impact, proposing an approach geared towards learning and continuous improvement. Continuing with what has been discussed so far, the focus is on tools, indicators, and practices that enable independent festivals to understand the value generated by their activities and strengthen their ability to be transparently and consciously accountable to stakeholders and communities.



Co-funded by
the European Union

Chapter 5

Skills, training and continuous learning

QUICK ORIENTATION – CHAPTER 5

Table 5.1 – How to use this chapter

<i>If you're looking for...</i>	<i>This chapter is useful to you if...</i>	<i>You do NOT need this chapter if...</i>
<i>Understanding what skills are needed today</i>	you feel your role is changing	you are only looking for specialized technical training
<i>Navigate the complexity of cultural work</i>	works between organization, public and territory	think of skills as “titles”
<i>Strengthen teams and collaborators</i>	you want to grow as an organization	you are looking for ready and standard courses
<i>Integrating digital and sustainability into skills</i>	you want to avoid sectoral approaches	you want to separate roles and functions
<i>Valuing experience as learning</i>	you learn by doing, not just by studying	you are looking for formal certifications

- 👉 When to read it: when skills limitations or organizational overload emerge
- 👉 How to read it: as an orientation map, not as a closed training plan

Table 5.2 – What you will find / what you will NOT find

<i>Here you will find</i>	<i>You will NOT find here</i>
<i>a reading of skills as a process</i>	catalogs of courses or training institutions
<i>attention to transversal skills</i>	rigid professional profiles
<i>connection between skills, roles and contexts</i>	corporate HR models
<i>valorization of informal learning</i>	mandatory training courses
<i>link between practice and reflection</i>	separation between theory and practice

 **Operational insights:** DEMO toolkit (skills maps, self-assessment forms, training resources)

Table 5.3 – Levels of skill development

(not all organizations grow the same way)

Level	What does this mean in practice?	Typical of festivals that...
<i>Base</i>	they learn above all from experience	they operate with small, informal teams
<i>Intermediate</i>	reflect on skills and share them	have more defined roles
<i>Advanced</i>	plan and transfer skills	participate in structured networks and projects

Guiding examples of development

Scope	Base	Intermediate	Advanced
<i>Learning</i>	learning by doing	moments of discussion and training	structured paths
<i>Team</i>	concentrated skills	distributed skills	integrated skills
<i>Organization</i>	spontaneous adaptation	conscious improvement	strategic growth
<i>Impact</i>	operational effectiveness	organizational strengthening	transfer capacity

How to use this Table

- there is no “ideal skill” that is valid for everyone;
- the goal is not to accumulate skills, but to make work sustainable;
- growing often means redistributing, not adding.

 **In the DEMO toolkit:** tools for understanding training needs without turning them into additional burden.

5.1 Monitoring and evaluation

Monitoring and evaluation are essential components for understanding the effectiveness and impact of independent festivals' activities. In the cultural sphere, these processes cannot be reduced to mere administrative tasks or quantitative reporting exercises, but must be understood as tools for learning and continuous improvement. Evaluation means critically questioning the value generated by cultural initiatives, the ways in which they impact audiences and regions, and the consistency between stated objectives and achieved results.

In the context of independent festivals, monitoring involves the systematic collection of information regarding the implementation of activities, while evaluation involves an interpretative analysis of such data, aimed at understanding results, processes, and impacts. This distinction is essential to avoid a reductive view of evaluation as simply measuring numerical indicators. Instead, an integrated approach to monitoring and evaluation allows for capturing both the tangible and qualitative dimensions of the cultural experience.

One of the most important aspects of monitoring and evaluation is the ability to **define clear and measurable objectives**, consistent with the festival's mission and available resources. Without clearly defined objectives, data collection risks becoming scattered or meaningless. In this sense, evaluation should be considered from the initial planning stages, integrating with the audience development, sustainability, networking, and digital transformation strategies discussed in the previous chapters.

Monitoring and evaluation also play a strategic role for stakeholders, including funders, partners, audiences, and local communities. The ability to provide transparent and comprehensive reporting on the results achieved helps strengthen the credibility and legitimacy of independent festivals, facilitating access to future resources and opportunities. In this sense, evaluation is not just an internal tool, but also a means of communication and cultural positioning.

From a methodological perspective, an effective evaluation approach combines quantitative and qualitative tools, adapting them to the specificities of the cultural context. Questionnaires, interviews, participant observation, digital data analysis, and collective feedback sessions can be used in a complementary manner to construct a more comprehensive and nuanced understanding of the impact generated. The informed use of digital tools can facilitate data collection and analysis, but requires attention to ethical issues and the protection of participants' privacy.

Within the DEMO project, monitoring and evaluation are conceived as dynamic and participatory processes, geared not only towards measuring results but also towards shared reflection on the practices adopted. These guidelines encourage independent festivals to develop evaluation systems that are proportionate, sustainable, and consistent with their

cultural objectives, enhancing evaluation as a tool for growth, learning, and continuous improvement.

Monitoring and evaluation: a necessary distinction

In everyday language, the terms *monitoring* and *evaluation* are often used interchangeably. In reality, they are two distinct but complementary processes, both essential for understanding the functioning and impact of cultural activities.

Monitoring involves the systematic collection of data and information during the course of activities. It serves to verify that the planned activities are actually being achieved, to identify any operational issues, and to support the festival's day-to-day management .

Evaluation , on the other hand, involves an interpretative analysis of the collected data and focuses on the significance of the results obtained. Evaluation means asking **what has changed** , *for whom* , and *why* , relating the outcomes of the activities to the cultural, social, and organizational objectives of the initiative.

An effective evaluation approach integrates monitoring and critical analysis, avoiding both data collection for its own sake and judgments unfounded by evidence. In this sense, monitoring and evaluation form a single process oriented toward learning and continuous improvement.

Micro-box E – Monitoring and evaluation: differences and complementarities

<i>I wait</i>	<i>Monitoring</i>	<i>Assessment</i>
<i>Function</i>	systematic data collection	data interpretation
<i>When it happens</i>	during the performance of activities	after (or in moments of reflection)
<i>Objective</i>	verify that activities are proceeding as planned	understand what has changed and why
<i>Focus</i>	operational performance	meaning and results
<i>Utility</i>	support for daily management	strategic and decision-making orientation

→ Integrated reading: Monitoring + evaluation = learning

Risk to avoid

Effective approach

<i>collect data without interpreting it</i>	connect data and goals
<i>evaluate without evidence</i>	rely on collected information
<i>impressionistic judgments</i>	critical and well-founded analysis
<i>formal requirements</i>	continuous improvement

✓ Monitoring and evaluation are not alternatives, but parts of a single learning-oriented process.

5.2 Indicators and evaluation tools

Defining indicators and choosing evaluation tools are crucial steps in making monitoring and evaluation processes truly useful and sustainable for independent festivals. In the cultural field, the effectiveness of evaluation depends not on the quantity of data collected, but on the **relevance of the indicators** to the initiative's objectives and the ability to interpret the results critically and contextually.

Indicators can be understood as observable signals that help understand whether and to what extent an activity is **producing** the desired effects. For independent festivals, it is essential to avoid adopting standardized or overly complex sets of indicators, favoring instead a limited number of clear, understandable indicators directly linked to cultural and organizational priorities. Well-chosen indicators help guide decisions, support continuous improvement, and transparently communicate the value generated.

Depending on the objectives pursued, indicators may address various aspects of the festival's activity. These include, for example, audience participation, the quality of the cultural experience, the effectiveness of audience development efforts, environmental and social impact, the strength of networks and partnerships, as well as the organization's organizational and digital capacity. It is important to emphasize that many of these aspects are not immediately measurable in numerical terms and require the use of **qualitative indicators** capable of capturing perceptions, behavioral changes, and learning processes.

The choice of **evaluation tools** should be consistent with the type of indicators identified and the resources available. Audience questionnaires, qualitative interviews, focus groups, participant observation, digital data analysis, and collective feedback sessions are some of the



most widely used tools in the cultural sector. For independent festivals, combining simple, low-cost tools with direct listening and dialogue with audiences and partners is particularly effective.

Digital tools , which facilitate data collection, organization, and analysis, are playing an increasingly important role . Online questionnaire platforms, contact management systems, digital interaction analysis tools, and shared databases can effectively support evaluation, provided their use is accompanied by a reflection on their purpose and ethical implications. The protection of personal data and transparency towards participants are essential elements of a responsible approach to evaluation.

A key aspect is **stakeholder engagement** in evaluation processes. Integrating the perspectives of audiences, artists, partners, and local communities enriches the analysis and builds a more nuanced understanding of the impact generated. In this sense, evaluation can become a space for shared discussion and learning, rather than a one-way activity conducted exclusively by the organization.

Within the DEMO project, indicators and evaluation tools are designed as flexible and adaptable elements, to be used according to the objectives and specific context of independent festivals. The guidelines encourage cultural operators to develop proportionate and meaningful evaluation systems capable of supporting critical reflection, improving the quality of practices, and strengthening the ability to make the cultural and social value generated by initiatives visible and understandable.

Examples of indicators by area of intervention

To make the evaluation process effective and sustainable, it can be useful to identify **a limited number of indicators** , selected based on the festival's main areas of intervention. Below are some indicative examples, which can be adapted to the specific context of the organization:

Box 11 – Guideline indicators for the evaluation of activities

<i>Area of intervention</i>	<i>Examples of indicators</i>
<i>Audience and participation</i>	Number and type of participants; return rate; perception of the quality of the experience; level of involvement before, during, and after the event
<i>Audience development and engagement</i>	audience diversification; participation in collateral or training activities; level of interaction; identification with the festival
<i>Environmental sustainability</i>	amount of waste produced and separated; use of reusable materials; modes of public and staff transportation; reduction of energy consumption
<i>Social responsibility and inclusion</i>	Accessibility of activities; participation of groups of different ages, genders, and backgrounds; perception of inclusiveness and safety; collaborations with local organizations
<i>Networking and partnerships</i>	Number and quality of collaborations; continuity of relationships; concrete results (projects, exchanges, co-productions)
<i>Digital transformation</i>	Use of digital tools; growth of internal skills; effectiveness of communication channels; quality of data collected and used

→ Usage note

How to use these indicators

<i>Principle</i>	<i>Indication</i>
<i>Selectivity</i>	choose a few indicators per area
<i>Contextualization</i>	adapt the indicators to your festival
<i>Proportionality</i>	commensurate the evaluation with the available resources
<i>Meaning</i>	always ask yourself why an indicator is useful
<i>Improvement</i>	use indicators to learn, not just measure



✓ the indicators are **indicative** , not mandatory or exhaustive.

These indicators should not be seen as an exhaustive or mandatory list, but rather as **reference points** to help independent festivals build evaluation systems that are consistent with their objectives and commensurate with available resources. The choice of indicators should always be accompanied by a reflection on their meaning and their usefulness for improving practices.

5.3 Impact assessment and learning

Impact assessment represents an advanced stage in the monitoring and evaluation process, where the focus shifts from immediate results to the medium- and long-term **transformations generated** by cultural activities. In the cultural field, impact assessment means examining how an independent festival contributes to changing practices, perceptions, relationships, and regional dynamics, going beyond the simple measurement of operational performance.

The cultural and social impact of a festival often manifests itself in indirect and difficult-to-quantify ways, such as strengthening a sense of belonging, enhancing participants' skills, building collaborative networks, or the emergence of new cultural narratives. For this reason, impact evaluation requires a methodological approach capable of integrating quantitative and qualitative data, valorizing the stories, testimonies, and processes of change that develop over time.

A central element of impact evaluation is its connection to **organizational learning processes** . Evaluation results should not be considered a final judgment on the organization's performance, but rather a knowledge base to guide future decisions. In this sense, impact evaluation becomes a reflective tool, allowing independent festivals to understand what works, what can be improved, and which development directions are most consistent with their cultural mission.

Organizational learning is strengthened when evaluation processes are shared within the organization and with external stakeholders. Engaging staff, collaborators, partners, and the public in reflecting on results fosters greater collective awareness and helps build an evaluation culture geared toward continuous improvement. In this sense, impact evaluation can become a space for dialogue and co-construction of meaning, rather than a technical activity reserved for a select few.

From a strategic perspective, the ability to communicate and make visible the impact generated is key to positioning independent festivals within the cultural and institutional context. Reporting evaluation results, if conducted transparently and accessible, helps strengthen the social legitimacy of cultural initiatives and facilitate dialogue with funders,

institutions, and local communities. In this sense, impact evaluation also takes on a communicative function, intertwined with audience development and networking strategies.

Within the DEMO project, impact evaluation and learning are understood as dynamic and circular processes that accompany the entire life cycle of cultural initiatives. These guidelines encourage independent festivals to use evaluation not as a control tool, but as a lever to strengthen their ability to innovate, adapt, and generate cultural and social value in a conscious and sustainable manner.

From evaluation to action

The process of monitoring, evaluation, and impact reflection outlined in this chapter highlights how the knowledge generated through data and analysis acquires value only when translated into action. For independent festivals, evaluation does not represent a point of arrival, but rather an informative and interpretative basis on which to build more informed, coherent, and sustainable future choices. In this sense, evaluation becomes a strategic orientation tool, capable of connecting vision, practices, and results.

The ability to learn from experience and adapt one's operating methods is one of the key resources for addressing contemporary challenges in the cultural sector. The evidence gathered, if integrated into decision-making processes and shared within organizations and networks, can strengthen the quality of initiatives, improve the effectiveness of strategies, and consolidate the role of independent festivals as actors in cultural and social development.

The following chapter aims to collect and organize the key insights emerging from the document, translating them into **operational recommendations** and concrete tools to support cultural operators. Continuing the approach of these guidelines, the focus is on how to transform principles, practices, and results into a flexible and usable toolkit capable of supporting independent festivals on their journeys of growth, innovation, and sustainability.



Co-funded by
the European Union

Chapter 6

Evaluation, impact and legacy



QUICK ORIENTATION – CHAPTER 6

Table 6.1 – How to use this chapter

<i>If you're looking for...</i>	<i>This chapter is useful to you if...</i>	<i>You do NOT need this chapter if...</i>
<i>Understanding why you should evaluate your activities</i>	you want to make results and processes visible	you just have to fill out mandatory reports
<i>Going beyond audience numbers</i>	you are interested in the cultural and social impact	you are only looking for quantitative indicators
<i>Improving future choices</i>	you want to learn from experience	consider the evaluation as a check
<i>Telling the value of the festival</i>	dialogues with partners, territories, financiers	you are looking for technical reporting tools
<i>Give continuity to the work done</i>	you want to leave traces and learnings	you only work on the single event

👉 When to read it: after (or while) you plan activities, not just at the end

👉 How to read it: as a tool for reflection and orientation, not as a formal obligation

Table 6.2 – What you will find / what you will NOT find

<i>Here you will find</i>	<i>You will NOT find here</i>
<i>a vision of evaluation as a process</i>	complex impact assessment models
<i>attention to cultural and social outcomes</i>	expensive monitoring systems
<i>integration between data, narratives and practices</i>	rigid grids of indicators
<i>link between assessment and learning</i>	evaluation as external judgment
<i>concept of legacy as value</i>	evaluation only ex post



 **Operational insights:** DEMO toolkit (self-assessment forms, lightweight indicators, narrative tools)

Table 6.3 – Evaluation and impact levels

(evaluating does not mean doing everything, but choosing what to observe)

Level	What does this mean in practice?	Typical of festivals that...
<i>Base</i>	reflect on what worked	they operate on a local scale and with small teams
<i>Intermediate</i>	collect data and feedback systematically	work with partners and communities
<i>Advanced</i>	integrate evaluation and strategy	participate in structured networks and projects

Guideline examples of application

Scope	Base	Intermediate	Advanced
<i>Public</i>	qualitative observations	questionnaires and feedback	comparative analysis
<i>Organization</i>	informal budget	moments of restitution	structured evaluation
<i>Territory</i>	local perceptions	stakeholder relations	medium-term impact
<i>Legacy</i>	memory of experience	shared documentation	transfer of practices

How to use this Table

- evaluation is not a duty, but an act of care;
- even a minimal rating is better than none;
- Legacy is not what remains by chance, but what is recognized and told.

 **In the DEMO toolkit:** tools for evaluating without burdening, examples of cultural and organizational legacy.



**Co-funded by
the European Union**

6. Final recommendations and toolkit

The guidelines developed within the DEMO project outlined a complex process encompassing audience development, sustainability, networking, digital transformation, and evaluation, highlighting how these areas are deeply interconnected in the daily practice of independent festivals. Chapter 6 aims to gather the key elements emerging from the previous chapters and translate them into **operational recommendations**, accompanied by a **toolkit** designed to concretely support cultural operators in the processes of implementation, adaptation, and continuous improvement.

6.1 Cross-cutting recommendations for independent festivals

The analysis shows that independent festivals operate in complex contexts, characterized by limited resources but also a high capacity for experimentation and innovation. Within this framework, some broad recommendations can guide the actions of cultural operators:

- **adopt an integrated vision**, avoiding treating public, sustainability, digital, and evaluation as separate areas;
- **favor gradual and realistic processes**, consistent with available resources and skills;
- **invest in the quality of relationships** with audiences, communities, partners, and networks, recognizing the strategic value of cooperation;
- **use digital as a cross-cutting lever**, to support organization, participation, and evaluation, and not as an end in itself;
- **consider evaluation as a learning tool**, integrating it into decision-making and organizational processes.

These recommendations do not define a single or prescriptive model, but rather aim to offer a flexible framework, adaptable to the different contexts in which independent festivals operate.

6.2 From document to toolkit

To make these guidelines truly usable in everyday practice, the DEMO project developed a **digital toolkit** that collects and reorganizes the document's contents into operational, modular, and interactive tools. The toolkit does not replace the guidelines, but represents an application extension, designed to facilitate self-assessment, planning, and improvement of organizational practices.

The toolkit includes, among other elements:

- thematic checklists (audience, sustainability, digital, networking, evaluation);
- self-assessment and decision-making guidance forms;

- examples of indicators and tools adaptable to different contexts;
- support materials for training and internal learning;
- Reusable modular content for workshops, meetings, and capacity -building activities.

The toolkit's digital organization allows for continuous content updates and flexible use, facilitating adaptation to the specific needs of individual festivals and to evolving European cultural landscapes.

6.3 An open and evolving approach

These guidelines and the associated toolkit should not be seen as definitive or static tools, but as **open-ended tools**, designed to evolve over time through use, discussion, and the sharing of experiences. In keeping with the spirit of the DEMO project, the goal is to promote a culture of critical reflection, cooperation, and continuous learning among cultural practitioners.

In this sense, independent festivals are encouraged to use the guidelines and toolkit to support their growth journeys, while also contributing to their enrichment through dialogue with networks, partners, and communities. Sustainability, innovation, and cultural impact are not goals to be achieved once and for all, but collective processes that are built over time through informed, shared, and forward-looking practices.

Micro-box F – Quick Self-Assessment: Where We Are Today

<i>Area</i>	<i>Guiding questions</i>
<i>Audience and participation</i>	Do we know our audiences? Do we build relationships over time?
<i>Sustainability</i>	do we integrate environment, society and organization into our choices?
<i>Networks and partnerships</i>	Do collaborations generate mutual value?
<i>Digital</i>	Do the tools really support daily work?
<i>Skills</i>	do we learn from experience and share it?
<i>Assessment</i>	Let's reflect on what works and what to improve?



Co-funded by
the European Union

👉 For each area ask yourself:
yes / partly / not yet

How to use this self-assessment

Indication	Meaning
No “right” answer	it only serves to orient oneself
Few priority areas	there's no need to work on everything
Answers “not yet”	they indicate a possible starting point
Collective use	works best when shared within a team

🔗 In the DEMO toolkit : specific tools to delve deeper into each area.

Box 12 – From guidelines to practice: a possible path

If you are here...	Use the guidelines to...	Use the toolkit to...
<i>You're starting to think</i>	building an integrated vision	orient yourself with thematic checklists
<i>You need to get organized</i>	connecting public, sustainability, digital and networks	self-assess strengths and weaknesses
<i>You have to make decisions</i>	understand what is a priority for your context	support operational planning
<i>You work with a team</i>	share a common language	facilitate comparison and internal work
<i>Collaborate with partners</i>	align visions and expectations	structure shared tools
<i>Do you want to improve over time?</i>	reflect on the experience	monitor, evaluate and adapt



Co-funded by
the European Union

How to read this box (micro-guide)

<i>Principle</i>	<i>Indication</i>
<i>Non-linearity</i>	it is not necessary to follow all the steps
<i>Proportionality</i>	choose based on resources and capabilities
<i>Adaptability</i>	modify tools and approaches
<i>Continuity</i>	return to the guidelines over time
<i>Sharing</i>	use the toolkit as a collective tool

✓ **There is no single “correct use”** : there are uses that are consistent with the contexts.



Co-funded by
the European Union

Bibliography and Reference Web Sites



Thematic bibliography

1. Digital transformation and innovation in cultural festivals

Bakhshi, H., Throsby, D., 2010, *Culture of Innovation: An Economic Analysis of Innovation in Arts and Cultural Organisations*, Nesta, London.

Baym, N., 2018, *Playing to the Crowd: Musicians, Audiences, and the Intimate Work of Connection*, New York University Press, New York.

Bennett, A., Rogers, I., 2016, *Popular Music Scenes and Cultural Memory*, Palgrave Macmillan, London.

Burgess, J., Green, J., 2018 (2nd ed.), *YouTube: Online Video and Participatory Culture*, Polity Press, Cambridge.

Flew, T., 2012, *The Creative Industries: Culture and Policy*, Sage, London.

Hesmondhalgh, D., 2019 (4th ed.), *The Cultural Industries*, Sage, London.

Jenkins, H., Ford, S., Green, J., 2013, *Spreadable Media: Creating Value and Meaning in a Networked Culture*, New York University Press, New York.

Negus, K., 1999, *Music Genres and Corporate Cultures*, Routledge, London.

Towse, R., 2019 (2nd ed.), *A Textbook of Cultural Economics*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

IETM, 2014, *Digital Space: Performing Arts and the Digital Shift*, Brussels.

2. Environmental and social sustainability in festivals and cultural events

Getz, D., 2012, *Event Studies: Theory, Research and Policy for Planned Events*, Routledge, London.

Laing, J., Frost, W., 2010, "How Green Was My Festival? Exploring Challenges and Opportunities Associated with Staging Green Events", *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 29(2), pp. 261–267.

Mair, J., Jago, L., 2010, "The Development of a Conceptual Model of Greening in the Business Events Tourism Sector", *Journal of Sustainable Tourism*, 18(1), pp. 77–94.

Raj, R., Musgrave, J., 2009, *Event Management and Sustainability*, CABI, Wallingford.



Co-funded by
the European Union

Smith-Christensen, S., 2009 → ✗ (fonte NON chiaramente verificabile in questa forma, rimossa)

YOUROPE, 2023, *European Green Festival Roadmap 2030*, Brussels.

ISO, 2012, *ISO 20121: Event Sustainability Management Systems*, Geneva.

Julie's Bicycle, 2022, *Creative Climate Tools*, London.

UNESCO, 2019, *Culture | 2030 Indicators*, Paris.

OECD, 2018, *Culture and Local Development: Maximising the Impact*, Paris.

3. Audience development and audience engagement

Bennett, T., 1995, *The Birth of the Museum: History, Theory, Politics*, Routledge, London.

Brown, A. S., Novak-Leonard, J. L., 2013, *Assessing the Intrinsic Impacts of a Live Performance*, WolfBrown.

Kawashima, N., 2006, "Audience Development and Social Inclusion in Britain", *International Journal of Cultural Policy*, 12(1), pp. 55–72.

Kolb, B., 2005, *Marketing for Cultural Organisations*, Thomson Learning, London.

McCarthy, K., Ondaatje, E., Zakaras, L., Brooks, A., 2004, *Gifts of the Muse: Reframing the Debate About the Benefits of the Arts*, RAND Corporation.

Simon, N., 2010, *The Participatory Museum*, Museum 2.0, Santa Cruz.

Walmsley, B., 2019, *Audience Engagement in the Performing Arts: A Critical Analysis*, Palgrave Macmillan, London.

European Commission, 2017, *Study on Audience Development – How to place audiences at the centre of cultural organisations*, Luxembourg.

Arts Council England, 2017, *Audience Development Guidance*, London.

4. Cultural networking and European cooperation

Castells, M., 2010 (2nd ed.), *The Rise of the Network Society*, Wiley-Blackwell, Oxford.

Granovetter, M., 1973, "The Strength of Weak Ties", *American Journal of Sociology*, 78(6), pp. 1360–1380.



Co-funded by
the European Union

Scott, A. J., 2010, “Cultural Economy and the Creative Field of the City”, *Geografiska Annaler: Series B*, 92(2), pp. 115–130.

Bennett, A., Peterson, R. A., 2004, *Music Scenes: Local, Translocal and Virtual*, Vanderbilt University Press.

ENCATC, 2020, *Cultural Management and Policy in a Post-Digital World*, Brussels.

European Festivals Association, 2021, *Festival Networks and European Cooperation*, Brussels.

On the Move, 2017, *Green Mobility Guide*, Brussels.

Websites

European Commission – Culture & Creative Sectors

<https://culture.ec.europa.eu>

Creative Europe Programme

<https://culture.ec.europa.eu/creative-europe>

UNESCO Culture Sector

<https://www.unesco.org/en/culture>

OECD Culture and Local Development

<https://www.oecd.org/culture>

ENCATC – Cultural Management Network

<https://encatc.org>

European Festivals Association

<https://www.european-festivals.eu>

YOUROPE Festival Network

<https://yourope.org>

Julie’s Bicycle

<https://juliesbicycle.org>

On the Move – Cultural Mobility Network

<https://on-the-move.org>

IETM – Performing Arts Network

<https://www.ietm.org>



**Co-funded by
the European Union**

ISO – Event Sustainability

<https://www.iso.org>

Creative Carbon Scotland

<https://www.creativecarbonscotland.com>

Arts Council England – Research

<https://www.artscouncil.org.uk>



Project code: 2024-1-IT02-KA210-ADU-000244236